

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/632,425	08/04/2000	Fabrice Geiger	A3024/T28300	1892
759	90 02/06/2002			
Patent Counsel M/S 2061 Legal Affairs Department Applied Materials Inc			EXAMINER	
			KILDAY, LISA A	
P O Box 450A Santa Clara, CA 95052			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Salita Ciara, CA	73032		2829	
		DATE MAILED: 02/06/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	Action Summary	09/632,425	GEIGER ET AL.			
Offic		Examiner	Art Unit			
•		Lisa A Kilday	2829			
The MA Period for Reply	ILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE MAILING  - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON  - If the period for reg  - If NO period for reg  - Failure to reply wit  - Any reply received	D STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  It may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 THS from the mailing date of this communication. Ply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replipity is specified above, the maximum statutory period thin the set or extended period for reply will, by statute by the Office later than three months after the mailing adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDON!	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠ Respon	sive to communication(s) filed on 20.	September 2001				
2a) This act	tion is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.				
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Cla	ims					
4) Claim(s)	1-20 is/are pending in the application	١.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s)	<u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s)	are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Paper	rs					
9)∐ The speci	fication is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath	or declaration is objected to by the Ex	raminer.				
Priority under 35	U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowle	edgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	a)-(d) or (f).			
a)∏ All b)[	Some * c) None of:					
1. 🗌 Ce	rtified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
2. 🗌 Ce	rtified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicat	ion No			
	pies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Butached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•			
_	Igment is made of a claim for domest	·				
a) 🔲 The f	translation of the foreign language produced the company of the foreign language produced the company is made of a claim for domest	ovisional application has been re	ceived.			
Attachment(s)	againsta to made of a statill for defilled	promy ander 00 0.0.0. 33 12	o unidior rail			
1) X Notice of Referer 2) Notice of Draftsp	nces Cited (PTO-892) erson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) osure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>4</u>	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
		<del></del>				

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- 1. Claims 1-19, drawn to method for forming an insulation layer, classified in class 438, subclass 787.
- II. Claim 20, drawn to a substrate processing system, classified in class 216, subclass 38+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the system could be used for LPCVD of Silicon nitride, or PECVD. The system is not limited to SACVD of carbon-containing insulators.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with William Shaffer on 1/18/2 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of method for forming an insulation layer, claims 1-19. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claim 20 is withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/632,425

Art Unit: 2829

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jang (6,022,802). Jang teaches a method for forming an insulation layer over a substrate by forming a surface sensitive oxide layer (12, table II) over the substrate (10), forming a porous silicon oxide layer (18) on the surface sensitive silicon oxide layer by thermal CVD wherein the porous silicon oxide layer is deposited at 400°C or less (claim 1, 4).

Jang teaches that the porous silicon oxide layer has a dielectric constant of 2.9-3.2 (col. 2 lines 5-20).

Jang teaches that the surface sensitive silicon oxide layer is deposited from a PECVD of TEOS and Oxygen (col. 7 lines 30-34). Jang teaches that the molar ratio of TEOS to O3 is 10:1-20:1 (col. 13 lines 25-26, col. 14 lines 56-57 and lines 63-64, table

Application/Control Number: 09/632,425

Art Unit: 2829

II). Applicant's molar ratios would inherently be met because the claim range of ratios is so broad.

Jang does not teach forming a capping silicon oxide layer over the porous silicon oxide layer. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use a capping layer as opposed to polishing the thermal silicon oxide layer and then depositing a capping layer, since it has been held to be within the general skill to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

Jang teaches that the porous silicon oxide layer is depositing using a SACVD process at 100-700 Torr (claim 4, col. 7 lines 32-33).

Jang teaches depositing a PECVD silicon oxide layer (figs. 4-6 ref. 40) over a plurality of conductive lines (36) from a plasma of TEOS and oxygen (col. 12 lines 50-54) and depositing a silicon oxide layer over the PECVD silicon oxide layer by a thermal CVD process from a gas mixture of TEOS and O3 wherein the thermal silicon oxide layer has a dielectric constant of 3.2 or less and a carbon content of at least 5% (col. 12 lines 55-61).

Art Unit: 2829

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Lisa Kilday whose telephone number is (703) 306-5728. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Sherry, can be reached on (703) 308-1680. The fax number for the group is (703) 305-3432.

Lisa Kilday

LAK

1/21/02

MICHAEL J. SHERRY PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5